UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,214	04/21/2006	Per Ove Ohman	514862002600	2907
	7590 09/18/200 FOERSTER LLP	8	EXAMINER	
425 MARKET	STREET		SASAKI, SHOGO	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2482			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4153	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/560,214	OHMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shogo Sasaki	4153				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. nely filed the mailing date of this co				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	- action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan						
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	· ·· ·· ·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ioonon roquiroment.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				

Application/Control Number: 10/560,214 Page 2

Art Unit: 4153

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with corresponding Rules. Amendments to the claims under Article 19 or Article 34(2)(b) may be made either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed. All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet shall be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims shall be required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they shall be renumbered consecutively. Currently, claim 7 is not placed in proper order. Appropriate correction is required
- 2. Claims 4 and 5 are objected to because of the following informalities: it is not clear that which claims the amended claims 4 and 5 depend from. They appear to be missing the strikethroughs on the appropriate claim numbers. Appropriate correction is required.

Election/Restrictions

3. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-14, 17 and 19, drawn to a device for handling a liquid sample, and a method of using the same.

Group II, claims 15 and 16, drawn to a method of performing a chemical or biochemical assay involving a reaction between an analyte in a sample and one or more reagents.

Group III, claim 18, drawn to a method of pre-treating a liquid sample, prior to performing a chemical or biochemical assay.

- 4. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The special technical feature of Group II is a method of performing a chemical or biochemical assay and reacting the sample with one or more reagents, and said feature is lacking from the device of Group I.
- 5. The inventions listed as Groups I and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The special technical feature of Group III is a method of pre-treating a liquid sample, prior to performing a chemical or biochemical assay, and said feature is lacking from the device of Group I.
- 6. The inventions listed as Groups II and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The special technical feature of Group III is a method of pre-treating a liquid sample, prior to performing a chemical or biochemical assay, and said feature is lacking from the method of Group II.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,214 Page 4

Art Unit: 4153

7. Once applicant elects one of the inventions, as set forth above, a further restriction to the

following species is required, as set forth below.

8. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic

invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as

to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species A disclosed in Figure-3; P8/L29-31; and P14/L4-12.

Species B disclosed in Figure-4; P9/L1-7; and P14/L13-28.

Species C disclosed in Figure-2; P9/L11-16; and P14/L1-3.

Species D disclosed in Figure 5A.

Species E disclosed in Figure 5B.

Species F disclosed in Figure 5C.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims

shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify

the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive

unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP

Page 5

§ 809.02(a).

9. Currently, none of the claims appear to be generic.

10. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT

Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special

technical feature for the following reasons: the species have mutually exclusive characteristics,

as shown by the Figures cited above. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each

other based on the current record.

11. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an

election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed

(37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To

preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election

shall be treated as an election without traverse.

12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Application/Control Number: 10/560,214 Page 6

Art Unit: 4153

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shogo Sasaki whose telephone number is (571)270-7071. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur, 9:30am-6:00pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Basia Ridley can be reached on 571-272-1453. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SS 8/25/2008

> /Basia Ridley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4153